JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
OWEN HARTY			DIMITRIOS B. PAFFAS and LUCILLE E. PAFFAS		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Broward, FL  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A John F. Ward, Esquire, T 329 S. Devon Ave., Ways (610) 952-0219		.A.	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	iot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State □		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In	Another State
			Citizen or Subject of a D Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			ESTEROMOUD PROFESSION		of Suit Code Descriptions.
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ← Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans ← (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment ← of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice □ 710 All Personal Injury □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability     367 Health Care/   Pharmaceutical   Personal Injury   Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal   Injury Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal   Injury Product Liability     PERSONAL PROPER   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal   Property Damage   385 Property Damage   Product Liability     PRISONER PETITION   Habeas Corpus:   463 Alien Detainee   510 Motions to Vacate   Sentence   530 General   535 Death Penalty   Other:   540 Mandamus & Other   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition   560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	of Property 21 USC 881    690 Other    710 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  ■ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark ■ SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	O Pro Odel	Confinement			<u> </u>
▼1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from  3	Remanded from C Appellate Court	3 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfe Anothe	rred from	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	42 U.S.C. § 1218	1 et seq.	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless diversity):	
	I Di lei description di ca	the basis of disabi	lity in the activities of place of		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N DEMAND \$ 0.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : ☐ Yes 又No
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 12/17/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AI	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

## Case 2:18-cv-05475-CDJ Document 1 Filed 12/19/18 Page 2 of 12

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	-
(610) 952-0219	(954) 237-1990	johnfward@gmail.com	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
December 17, 2018	Jan = Wr	Plaintiff, Owen Harty	
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	(X)
the court. (See reverse si management cases.)	complex and that need special de of this form for a detailed	al or intense management by explanation of special	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury	or property damage from	( )
(c) Arbitration - Cases requi	red to be designated for arbit	ration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases re and Human Services deny	equesting review of a decision ying plaintiff Social Security	n of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	( )
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases b	rought under 28 U.S.C. § 22	41 through § 2255.	( )
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant sh	se Management Track Designs a copy on all defendants. (Sowent that a defendant does hall, with its first appearance, a Case Management Track Designs.)	Reduction Plan of this court, counsely nation Form in all civil cases at the time ee § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revenot agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and serve or signation Form specifying the track to we	e of erse said the
DIMITRIOS B. PAFFAS, an LUCILLE E. PAFFAS	d :		
V.	:		
OWEN HARTY	: CIVI : NO.	L ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## Case 2:18-cv-05475-CDJ Document 1 Filed 12/19/18 Page 3 of 12

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 6190 Woodlands Blv	vd., Apt. 102, Tamarac, FL 33319					
2012 Min ding May Drawn II DA 1000						
Address of Defendant: 2013 Winding Way Broomall, PA 19008  Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Meadowbrook Shopping Center, 3401-15 Edgemont Ave., Brookhaven, PA 19015						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	,					
Case Number: Judge:	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following qu	estions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transpending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	saction as a prior suit  Yes  No					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in sunumbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.  DATE:  12/17/2018    Is / Is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.  81350   Attorney-at-Law/Pro Se Plaintiff   Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)						
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
A. Federal Question Cases:   B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:     1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. Social Security Review Cases   10. Social Security Review Cases   11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):						
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)						
(The effect of this certification is to rem	=					
I,, counsel of record or pro se pla	nove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) aintiff, do hereby certify:					
I,, counsel of record or pro se pla	nove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
I,	nove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) aintiff, do hereby certify: nowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case					
I,	aintiff, do hereby certify:  nowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case					

## Case 2:18-cv-05475-CDJ Document 1 Filed 12/19/18 Page 4 of 12

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 61	90 Woodlands Blvd., Apt. 102, Tar	marac, FL 33319			
Address of Defendant: 2013 Winding Way Broomall, PA 19008					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	eadowbrook Shopping Center, 3401-15 Ed	dgemont Ave., Brookhaven, PA 19015			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		<i>,</i>			
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answere	ed to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year  Yes  No  Vo					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit  Yes  No  Verify  No  No  Verify  No  Verify  No  No  No  Verify  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  Vo					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.	is / • is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in			
DATE: 12/17/2018	81350				
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)	**************************************				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction	Cases:			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts   2. FELA   2. Airplane Personal Injury   3. Assault, Defamation   4. Antitrust   4. Marine Personal Injury   5. Patent   5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury   6. Labor-Management Relations   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Civil Rights   7. Products Liability   8. Habeas Corpus   8. Products Liability - Asbestos   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   9. Security Review Cases   10. Social Security Review Cases   11. All other Federal Question Cases   (Please specify):					
/The off	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibili	ty for arbitration.)			
	counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:				
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive	(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the e of interest and costs:	damages recoverable in this civil action case			
Relief other than monetary damages is so	ought.				
DATE: 12/17/2018	Car CV	81350 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff te has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	πιωτιτές τ.Σ. π (η apprication)			

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OWEN HARTY, Individually, :

Plaintiff, :

v. : Case No.

DIMITRIOS B. PAFFAS, Individually, and LUCILLE E. PAFFAS, Individually,

Defendants. :

\_\_\_\_:

#### **COMPLAINT**

(Injunctive And Other Relief Demanded)

Plaintiff, OWEN HARTY, Individually, on his behalf and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated, hereby sues Defendants, DIMITRIOS B. PAFFAS, Individually, and LUCILLE E. PAFFAS, Individually, for Injunctive Relief, and attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq*. ("ADA").

- 1. Plaintiff is a Florida resident, lives in Broward County, is sui juris, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Plaintiff is paralyzed from the waist down and is bound to ambulate in a wheelchair. Plaintiff travels frequently to the Philadelphia metropolitan area to visit his family, attend gun shows, and maintain his business and client contacts.
- Defendants' property, Meadowbrook Shopping Center, 3401-15 Edgemont Ave.,
   Brookhaven, PA 19015, is located in the County of Delaware.

- Venue is properly located in the EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA because
  venue lies in the judicial district of the property situs. Defendants' property is located in and
  does business within this judicial district.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from Defendants' violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq. See also* 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 5. Plaintiff has visited the property which forms the basis of this lawsuit and plans to return to the property to avail himself of the goods and services offered to the public at the property, and to determine whether the property has been made ADA compliant. Plaintiff has encountered architectural barriers at the subject property which discriminate against him on the basis of his disability and have endangered his safety. These barriers also prevent Plaintiff from returning to the property to enjoy the goods and services available to the public. Plaintiff is also a tester for the purpose of asserting his civil rights and monitoring, ensuring, and determining whether places of public accommodation are in compliance with the ADA.
- 6. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of Defendants' discrimination until Defendants are compelled to comply with the requirements of the ADA. Plaintiff is deterred from, and is denied the opportunity to participate and benefit from the goods, services, privileges, advantages, facilities and accommodations at Defendants' property equal to that afforded to other individuals. Plaintiff is aware that it would be a futile gesture to attempt to visit Defendants' property if he wishes to do so free of discrimination.

- 7. Defendants own, lease, lease to, or operate a place of public accommodation as defined by the ADA and the regulations implementing the ADA, 28 CFR 36.201(a) and 36.104.

  Defendants are responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA. The place of public accommodation that Defendants own, operate, lease or lease to is known as Meadowbrook Shopping Center, 3401-15 Edgemont Ave., Brookhaven, PA 19015.
- 8. Plaintiff has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from Defendants' non-compliance with the ADA with respect to this property as described, but not necessarily limited to, the allegations in paragraph 10 of this Complaint. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that he will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by Defendants. Plaintiff desires to visit Meadowbrook Shopping Center not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the property, but also to assure himself that this property is in compliance with the ADA so that he and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the property without fear of discrimination.
- 9. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff by denying him access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the subject property, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182 *et seq*.
- 10. Defendants have discriminated, and are continuing to discriminate, against Plaintiff in violation of the ADA by failing to, *inter alia*, have accessible facilities by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendants have 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). A preliminary inspection of Meadowbrook Shopping Center has shown that violations exist. These violations include, but are not limited to:

- A. Defendant fails to adhere to a policy, practice and procedure to ensure that all goods, services and facilities are readily accessible to and usable by the disabled.
- B. Defendant fails to maintain its features to ensure that they are readily accessible and usable by the disabled.
- C. There is a lack of compliant, accessible route connecting the disabled parking spaces with all the goods, services and facilities of the property, with no accessible route from the public sidewalk or public transportation stop, excessive slopes, non-compliant curb approaches, non-compliant ramps, hazards on ground surfaces, abrupt changes in level, and uneven ground surfaces. Defendants' failure to provide a compliant accessible route connecting all essential elements of the facilities makes it difficult, unsafe and/or impossible for Plaintiff to access the goods and services offered at the shopping center.
- D. There are no compliant parking spaces and access aisles. The lack of compliant parking makes it difficult, unsafe and/or impossible for Plaintiff to locate accessible parking, transfer from his vehicle to his wheelchair and access the shopping center.
- 11. The discriminatory violations described in paragraph 10 are not an exclusive list of

  Defendants' ADA violations. Plaintiff requires an inspection of Defendants' place of public
  accommodation in order to photograph and measure all of the discriminatory acts violating
  the ADA and all of the barriers to access. Plaintiff, and all other individuals similarly
  situated, have been denied access to, and have been denied the benefits of services, programs
  and activities of Defendants' buildings and facilities, and have otherwise been discriminated
  against and damaged by Defendants because of Defendants' ADA violations, as set forth

above. Plaintiff and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief provided by the ADA as requested herein. In order to remedy this discriminatory situation, Plaintiff requires an inspection of Defendants' place of public accommodation in order to determine all of the areas of non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

- 12. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff by denying him access to full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of their place of public accommodation or commercial facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 CFR 36.302 et seq. Furthermore, Defendants continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and all those similarly situated by failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, and by failing to take such efforts that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services.
- 13. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel and is entitled to recover attorneys' fees, costs and litigation expenses from Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR 36.505.
- 14. Defendants are required to remove the existing architectural barriers to the physically disabled when such removal is readily achievable for their place of public accommodation that have existed prior to January 26, 1992. *See* 28 CFR 36.304(a). In the alternative, if there has been an alteration to Defendants' place of public accommodation since January 26, 1992,

then Defendants are required to ensure, to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs. *See* 28 CFR 36.402. Finally, if Defendants' facility is one which was designed and constructed for first occupancy subsequent to January 26, 1993, as defined in 28 CFR 36.401, then Defendants' facility must be readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.

- 15. Notice to Defendants is not required as a result of Defendants' failure to cure the violations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendants have 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). All other conditions precedent have been met by Plaintiff or waived by Defendants.
- 16. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiff
  Injunctive Relief, including an order to require Defendants to alter Meadowbrook Shopping
  Center to make those facilities readily accessible and useable to Plaintiff and all other persons
  with disabilities as defined by the ADA, or by closing the facility until such time as
  Defendants cure their violations of the ADA.

#### **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that Defendants at the commencement of the subject lawsuit are in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq*.
- b. The Court issue all remedies available under 42 U.S.C. Sections 12188 and 2000a-3(a) including but not limited to preventive relief, permanent or temporary injunction, restraining order, or other order, as the Court deems just and proper.

c. The Court issue injunctive relief against Defendants, including an Order

(i) requiring the Defendants to make all readily achievable alterations to the Property

and to the facility operated thereon so that the Property and such facility are made readily

accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA;

(ii) requiring the Defendants to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices

or procedures as are necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges,

advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities;

(iii) requiring the Defendants to take such steps as are necessary to ensure that no

individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated

differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services; and

(iv) prohibiting the Defendants from perpetuating any acts and practices which result

in the exclusion, denial of service to or discriminatory treatment of individuals with

disabilities.

c. An award of attorneys' fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.

d. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under Title III of

the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Respectfully Submitted,

THOMAS B. BACON, P.A.

By:

'<del>\_\_\_\_\_\_\_</del>

John F. Ward, Esquire (I.D. No. 81350)

Pan FW

329 S. Devon Ave.

Wayne, PA 19087

7

## Case 2:18-cv-05475-CDJ Document 1 Filed 12/19/18 Page 12 of 12

Phone: 610-952-0219 Fax: 954-237-1990 johnfward@gmail.com

Thomas B. Bacon, Esquire (I.D. No. 58629) 644 North McDonald St. Mt. Dora, FL 32757 Phone 954-478-7811

Fax: 954-237-1990

tbb@thomasbaconlaw.com

Date: December 17, 2018